

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450' Alexandria, Virginia 22313 1440 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKETING. | CONFIRMATION NO.   |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/627,575   | 07/25/2003           | Sigeo Homma          | 81940.0050          | 2969   |  |
| 24956  | 7590 06/06/2006      |                      |                     | EXACUNER   |  |
| MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD |                      |                      | BRADLEY,            | BRADLEY, MATTHEW A   |  |
| SUITE 370  |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PÄKER NUMBER   |  |
| ALEXANDI   | ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                      |                     | The state of the s |  |
|  |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2 |                     | 6 ,  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or processing

|  | *  |   |                           |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                                    |                           |  |  |  |
| Supplemental   | 10/627,575   | HOMMA ET AL.                                    |                           |  |  |  |
| Notice of Allowability   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                           |  |  |  |
|  | Matthew Bradley  | 2187  |                           |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe<br>All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS a<br>herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85)<br>NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI<br>of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313<br>1. \(\sumeq\) This communication is responsive to amendment filed 12/1 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.  | olication. If not include will be mailed in due | ed<br>course. <b>THIS</b> |  |  |  |
| 2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-51</u> .   |  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2.</li></ul>  |  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No./Mail Date  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material  | 5. Notice of Informal Page 1  Notice of Informal Page 1  No./Mail Data 2  No./Mail Data 3  Notice 2  Notic | (PTO-413),<br>e<br>nent/Comment                 |                           |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Examiner Note to Applicant

This supplemental Office Action has been issued to correct a deficiency found in the Examiner's Amendment in the Notice of Allowability mailed on 13 March 2006. The entire context of the action, including the corrected Examiner's Amendment which supercedes the Examiner's Amendment set forth in the previous Notice of Allowance, has been included for the convenience of the Applicant.

## Response to Amendment

This Office Action has been issued in response to amendment filed 15 December 2005. Applicant's arguments have been carefully and fully considered in light of the instant amendment, and are persuasive.

#### Claim Status

Original claims 1-52 remain pending and are ready for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections set forth in the Office Action dated 27 October 2005 have been withdrawn in light of the instant amendment.

#### Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,575 Page 3

Art Unit: 2187

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Daniel Stanger on 1 March 2006 and 2 March 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

With respect to the claims dated 15 December 2005,

- o Amend claim 1 as follows:
  - Line 13: Remove the first instance of "the"
  - Line 14: Insert –the-- before "data"
- o Amend claim 12 as follows:
  - Line 13: Remove the first instance of "the"
  - Line 15: Insert –the-- before "data"
- o Amend claim 19 as follows:
  - o Line 11: Remove the first instance of "the"
  - Line 12: Insert –the-- before "data"
- o Cancel independent claim 52.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-51 are allowed.

The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art made of record teaches a snapshot method, but fails to teach the combination including the limitation of:

(Claim 1) "...and while said first and second storage regions are in said snap state, allows copying of data from the first storage region to the second storage region in response to a read instruction to read the data in the second storage region ...";

Art Unit: 2187

(Claim 12) "...that while said first and second storage regions are in said snap state, allows copying of data from the first storage region to the second storage region in response to a read instruction to read the data in the second storage region ...";

(Claim 19) "...and while said first and second storage regions are in said snap state, allows copying of data from the first storage region to the second storage region in response to a read instruction to read the data in the second storage region ...";

(Claim 25) "...and while said first and second storage regions are in said snap state, copying data from the first storage region to the second storage region in response to a read instruction from the computer to read the data in the second storage region ...";

(Claim **36**) "...in response to a read instruction from a computer to read data in the second storage region, and while said first and second storage regions are in said snap state, copying the data from the first storage region to the second storage region ...";

(Claim 43) "...in the first control state, in response to a read instruction to read data in the second storage region, copying the data from the first storage region to the second storage region...";

(Claim 49) "...are snapped into a first snap state in which said first and second storage regions form a pair having a master-slave control relationship, and the first storage region and the second storage region are controlled with the snap state therebetween being dissolved in a second state ...and a second control mode which

dissolves the snap state after copying data in the first storage region to the second storage region."

(Claim **50**) "...are snapped into a first snap state in which said first and second storage regions form a pair having a master-slave control relationship, and the first storage region and the second storage region are controlled with the snap state therebetween being dissolved in a second state ...and a second control mode which dissolves the snap state after copying data in the first storage region to the second storage region."

(Claim 51) "...and as control information for managing the snap state of a data status resulting from accesses to data in the first storage region with a data status resulting from accesses to data in the second storage region, and manages the first storage region and the second storage region that store the data."

As dependent claims 2-11, 13-18, 20-24, 26-35, 37-42, and 44-48 depend from an allowable base claim; they are at least allowable for the same reasons as noted *supra*.

The prior art made of record, Micka et al (U.S. 6,189,079), neither anticipates nor renders obvious the above-recited combinations.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 15 December 2005 have been carefully and fully considered, and are persuasive. As noted *supra* and with respect to the Examiner's Amendment the case is in condition for allowance.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Bradley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8575. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald A. Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAS/mb

DONALD SPARKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER